6/27/23, 1:11 PM Editorial Board

# Journal of Animal Health and Production

CiteScare SCOPUS 2020

Search

0.4

Submit or Track your Manuscript

Scopus

Links lesearchers



3oin Us





Authors

Reviewers

Editorial Workflow

About Us

eAlerts

Contact Us

#### **Editorial Board**

Home

About the Journal

**Editorial Board** 

Current Issue

Archive

**Processing Charges** 

**Author Guidelines** 

Abstracting & Indexing

**Editorial Workflow** 

Publishing Ethics

Policies

Statements

Contact Information

Subscription

Join Us

Submit Online at



Special Issues

Open Special Issues

Call for Special Issues

Special Issue Guidelines

**Published Special Issues** 

Membership/Association

#### Editor-in-Chief

Asghar Ali Kamboh (PhD)

Assistant Professor

Department of Veterinary Microbiology

Faculty of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Science

Sindh Agriculture University, 70060 Tandojam, Pakistan

Email: drasgharkamboh@yahoo.com

# Associate Editors

Sanjay Kumar Singh (MVSc., Ph.D. (Veterinary Gynaecology & Reproduction))

Senior Scientist

In-Charge Animal Gynecology Lab, Animal Reproduction Division

Indian Veterinary Research Institute

Izatnagar 243122, Bareilly (UP), INDIA

Email: singhsanjayk69@gmail.com

Prof Mohamed A. Shalaby

Professor of Virology & Immunology

Dept. of Virology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

Cairo University, 12211, Giza, Egypt

Emails: mshalaby43@gmail.com

mshalaby@cu.edu.eg

### Members of the Editorial Board

1. Rajesh Kumar Joshi (M.V.Sc., PhD)

Professor and Head

Department of Veterinary Microbiology

College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry

Narenra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology

Kumarganj, Distt - Faizabad- 224229, Uttar Pradesh, India

Email: rkjoshivet26@gmail.com

2, Yashpal S Malik (M.V.Sc, PhD, PostDoc (USA))

Senior Scientist

Division of Biological Standardization,

Indian Veterinary Research Institute (IVRI),

Izatnagar 243 122, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh, India Email: malikyps@yahoo.com; malikyps@ivri.res.in

3. Atta Hussain Shah (DVM, M.Sc. PhD)

Department of Animal Product Technology

Faculty of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Sciences,

Sindh Agriculture University, 70060 Tandojam, Pakistan Tel: +92-222765870-211; +92 3062443391

Email: vetdr\_atta@yahoo.com

https://researcherslinks.com/journal-details/Journal-of-Animal-Health-and-Production/34/editorial-board

1

1/5

Submit to Journal Of Animal Health And Production

Review for Journal Of Animal Health And Production 6/27/23, 1:11 PM Editorial Board





4. Zhu Wei-Yun (B.Sc, M.Sc, PhD)

Head of Laboratory of GIT Microbiology, College of Animal Science and Technology, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, China Tel: +86 2584395523

Email: zhuweiyun@njau.edu.cn

#### Dorota Wojtysiak (PhD)

Department of Reproduction and Animal Anatomy, Agricultural University of Krakow, AI. Mickiewicza 24/28; 30-059 Kraków, Poland Tel +48 22 662 40 93

Email: wojtysiakd@wp.pl

#### 6. M. Zamri Saad (PhD)

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Malaysia Tel: +60123952921; +60386093453 Email: zamri@vet.upm.edu.my

#### 7. Mohamed Nabil Makled (PhD)

Department of Animal and Poultry Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Assiut University, Assiut 71516, Egypt Tel: (202) - 25199385 Email: makled@yahoo.com

#### 8. Muhammad Ali A Shah (PhD)

Chairman, Department of Pathobiology Arid Agriculture University, Rawalpindi, Pakistan Tel: 0092-3006071521 Email: Alishah521@gmail.com

#### 9. Jameel Ahmed Gandahi (DVM, M.Sc. PhD)

Department of Anatomy and Histology Faculty of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Sciences, Sindh Agriculture University, 70060 Tandojam, Pakistan Tel: +92 3013892160 Email: drgandahi@gmail.com

#### 10, Rehana Buriro (DVM, M.Sc. PhD)

Department of Veterinary Pharmacology Faculty of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Sciences, Sindh Agriculture University, 70060 Tandojam, Pakistan Email: rsburiro@hotmail.com

#### 11. Abdelkareem A Ahmed Abdallah (PhD)

Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Nyala, 155 Nyala Sudan Tel: 00249-906547116 Email:karemo64@yahoo.com

#### 12. Md. Moin Ansari (M.V.Sc,Ph.D, CertAW, DipMLT)

Asstt. Professor / Scientist (Senior Scale)
Division of Surgery & radiology
Faculty of Veterinary Sciences and Animal Husbandry
Shuhama, Srinagar-190006, J&K.
Email: drmoin7862003@gmail.com:drmoin7862003@yahoo.co.in

# 13. Jag Mohan (MSc, PhD)

Principal Scientist & Head Physiology & Reproduction Division Central Avian Research Institute Izatnagar, Bareilly (UP) – 243122, India mohanjagjag@rediffmail.com

#### 14. Subodh Kumar (PhD)

Senior Scientist,

Division of Animal Genetics,

Indian Veterinary Research Institute,

Izatnagar (UP) 243 122, INDIA

subkum@gmail.com

#### 15. Jerome Andonissamy (MS.c (Veterinary Gynaecology and Obstetrics))

Scientist

Division of Buffalo Physiology & Reproduction.

Central Institute for Research on Buffaloes.

Hisar, Harvana - 125001.

India

E-mail: jerome210982@gmail.com

#### 16. Aijaz Ahmad Dar (BVSc, MVSc, PhD)

Assistant Professor

Veterinary Medicine

FVSc & AH (SKUAST-Kashmir)

Shuhama Alusteng, Srinagar -190006

J&K - India

E-mail; draijaz472@gmail.com

#### 17. Shanker Dayal (Ph. D)

Senior Scientist

Division of Livestock and Fishery Management

ICAR Research Complex for Eastern Region, Patna, Bihar

India

E mail: antudayal@gmail.com

#### 18. O.R. Vinodh Kumar (M.V.Sc., P.G.D.B.I., Ph.D. (Veterinary Epidemiology &

Preventive Medicine)

Scientist

Division of Medicine

Indian Veterinary Research Institute

Izatnagar, Bareilly (UP) - 243122, India

Email: vinodhkumar.rajendan@gmail.com

#### 19. PANKAJ KUMAR (Ph.D.)

Scientist (SS), Veterinary Medicine

Division of Livestock and Fisheries Management

ICAR Research Complex for Eastern Region

ICAR Parisar

P.O Bihar Veterinary College

Patna- 800014, Bihar, India

Email: pankajvet@gmail.com

#### 20. Ali Do an ÖMÜR (PhD)

Assist. Prof.

Atatürk University

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

Department of Reproduction and Artificial Insemination

25240 Erzurum - TURKEY

e-mail: alidogan@atauni.edu.tr

# 21. Shivaramu Keelara Veerappa (BVSc & AH, MVSc, PhD)

Research Associate

Environmental Microbial and Food Safety Laboratory

United States Department of Agriculture,

BARC-EAST, Beltsville, MD 20770

E-mail: shiva.keelara@ars.usda.gov, shivakeelara@gmail.com

#### 22. SHEIKH ADIL HAMID

Division of Livestock Production and Management

Faculty of Veterinary Sciences and Animal Husbandry

Shuhama, Srinagar-190006, SKUAST-K, Kashmir, India

Email: aadilsheikh5@gmail.com

#### 23. Ashraf Fathy Said Awad (Ph.D. LMU Germany)

Lecturer of Genetics Faculty of Vet, Med. Zagazig University

Post code: 44511, Zagazig, Egypt Email: ashrafgenetic20000@yahoo.com

#### 24, Mahmoud Mohamed Alagawany (PhD)

Lecturer of Poultry Nutrition

Poultry Department

Agriculture Faculty

Zagazig University

Zagazig

Egypt

Email: dr.mahmoud.alagwany@gmail.com, mmalagwany@zu.edu.eg

#### 25, Norhan Khairy

Lecturer of Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University, Egypt Emaill: nourhan\_vet⊕yahoo.com

#### 26. Dr. Sandeep Kumar Sharma

Assistant professor, Veterinary Microbiology
Department of Veterinary Microbiology and Biotechnology
Post Graduate Institute of Veterinary Education and Research (PGIVER)
Rajasthan University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences (RAJUVAS)
N.H.-21, Agra Road, Jamdoli, Jaipur-302031
Email: drsharmask01@hotmail.com
sharma.sandeep@rajuvas.org

#### 27. Dr. Ubedullah Kaka

Senior Lecturer

Department of Companion Animal Medicine and Surgery,

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,

Universiti Putra Malaysia

# Journal of Animal Health and Production

#### March

Vol. 11, Iss. 1, Pages 1-98





#### Featuring

### Identification of Bali Pigs Using Body Morphometric and Head Index by Applying Principal Component Analysis (PCA) Approach

Luh Gde Sri Surya Heryani, Ni Luh Eka Setiasin, Ni Nyoman Werdi Sasari, I Made Merdana, I Gusti Ngurah Bagus Tri Laksana, I Wayan Nico Fajar Gunawan

J. Anim. Health Prod., Vol. 11, Iss. 2, pp. 214-221

Analysis of Nutrient Digestibility, Hematological Status, and Blood Malondialdehyde Levels in Broiler Chickens Given a Combination of Cassava Tuber and Indigofera zollingeriana Leaves Flour as a Source of Energy in the Ration

Rizki Palupi. Arfan Abrar, Fitri Nova Liya Lubis. Yuni Kurniati. Bianca Ikriza Octa Nadia Putri

J. Anim. Health Prod., Vol. 11, Iss. 2, pp. 206-213

Performance of Local Sheep Fed Diets Containing Urea-Impregnated

Dede Kardaya, Deden Sudrajat, Dewi Wahyuni

J. Anim. Health Prod., Vol. 11, Iss. 2, pp. 199-205

Effects of Using Black Soldier Fly Larvae Meal (Hermetia illucens L) as a Source of Protein on Boosting Performance, Carcass Quality, and Nutrient Digestibility of Village Chicken

Fuji Astuty Auza, Sri Purwanti, Jasmal A. Syamau, Asmuddin Natsir, Rusli Badaruddin, Deki Zulkarnain, La Ode Muh, Munadi

J. Anim. Health Prod., Vol. 11, Iss. 2, pp. 193-198

Click here for more

# Subscribe Today

Receive free updates on new articles, opportunities and benefits

First Name	
Last Name	
Last Name	
Email Address	
Email Address	
Select Journals	
Select Journal	

6/27/23, 1:11 PM Editorial Board

Interact with ResearchersLinks	Guidelines and Support	ResearchersLinks Initiatives	Information and Help	Follow Us
Frequently Asked Questions	Authors and Contributors	Manuscript Handler	Access & Subscriptions	a
Contact Leaders/Staff	Reviewing and Reviewers	The Events Portal	Policies	9
Work at ResearchersLinks	Academic Editors	Researcher Links Books	Statements	
Article Processing Charges	Ubratians and Specialists	ResearcherLinks Blog	Memberships	<b>6</b>
How Do I Join ResearchersLinks?	Publishers and Collaborators	Links Platform (coming)		
	Institutions and Societies			G.

© 2023 ResearchersLinks. All rights Reserved, ResearchersLinks is a member of CrossRef, CrossMark, Thenticate.

6/27/23, 1:11 PM Current Issue

# Journal of Animal Health and Production

CitrScore SCOPUS 2020

Search

0.4

Submit or Track your Manuscript

Scopus

Links esearchers

Submit to

Journal Of Animal Health

And Production

Review for

Journal Of Animal Health

And Production



Join Us





Authors

Reviewers

Editorial Workflow

About Us

eAlerts

Contact Us

#### Current Issue

Home

About the Journal

**Editorial Board** 

Current Issue

Archive

**Processing Charges** 

**Author Guidelines** 

Abstracting & Indexing

Editorial Workflow

Publishing Ethics

Policies

Statements

Contact Information

Subscription

Join Us

Submit Online at

MH S Manuscript Handler S We what you have

Special Issues

Open Special Issues

Call for Special Issues

Special Issue Guidelines

Published Special Issues

Membership/Association

Research Article

Preparation of an Inactivated Polyvalent Vaccine Against Common Bacterial Pathogens Causing Bovine Mastitis and Evaluation of its' Immunizing and Therapeutic Potentials

Rafik Soliman, Rafik H. Sayed, Hanan Mahmoud, Heidy Abo El-Yazeed, Marsell Saad, Shaimaa Abdelall Elsaady, Khalid Sh

J. Anim. Health Prod., Vol. 11, Iss. 2, pp.99-108

Abstract | Full Text | PDF | ePUB | FLIP | DOI | Figures |

Comparative Effectiveness of Silymarin and Choline Chloride (Liver Tonics) in Preventing the Effects of Aflatoxin B1 in Broiler Chicks

Saad Zaghlou El-Damrawy, Reda Ali Hassan, Ahmed Maher Bakhaty, Adel M. Nasr, El-Sayed A. Abu El-Hassan

J. Anim. Health Prod., Vol. 11, Iss. 2, pp. 109-120

Abstract | Full Text | PDF | ePUB | FLIP | DOI | Figures |

Impact of Bacillus amyloliquefaciens on Immunity of the Broiler Chicken, Shedding Pattern and Organ Colonization of Salmonella Enteritidis and Clostridium perfringens as Direct Fed Microbial in Broiler Chicken

Muhammad Arshad Igbal, Aamir Ghafoor, Irfan Ahmad, Muhammad Ijaz

J. Anim. Health Prod., Vol. 11, Iss. 2, pp. 121-128

Abstract | Full Text | PDF | ePUB | FLIP | DOI | Figures |

Effect of Natural Multi-Enzyme Supplementation on Growth Performance, Gut Microflora, Carcass Characteristics, and Meat Quality of Broilers Reared in Tropical Climates

Keatisak Soisuwan, Hatairat Plaimast, Atichat Thongnum, Soranot Chotnipat, Manatsanun Nopparatmaitree

J. Anim. Health Prod., Vol. 11, Iss. 2, pp. 129-138

Abstract | Full Text | PDF | ePUB | FLIP | DOI | Figures |

Effect of Season and Topography on the Prevalence of Trypanosoma evansi in Camels of District Khushab of Punjab province, Pakistan

Nayla Maqsood, Muhammad Tariq Tunio, Rai Khuda Dad

J. Anim. Health Prod., Vol. 11, Iss. 2, pp. 139-143

Abstract | Full Text | PDF | ePUB | FLIP | DOI | Figures |

Evaluation of the Gastrointestinal Parasite Burden of Goats in Traditional Breeding in Benin

Kétomon Pierre Challaton, Coovi Guénolé Akouedegni, Kadoéito Cyrille Boko, Goué Géorcelin Alowanou, Pascal Venant Houndonougbo, Aboudou Habirou Kifouly, Mawulé Sylvie Hounzangbé-

https://researcherslinks.com/journal-details/Journal-of-Animal-Health-and-Production/34/current-issue

6/27/23, 1:11 PM Current Issue

Adoté



J. Anim. Health Prod., Vol. 11, Iss. 2, pp. 144-154

Abstract | Full Text | PDF | ePUB | FLIP | DOI | Figures |

Assessment of Biosecurity Practices Adoption in Broiler Farms in East Africa: Case Study of Pwani Region in Tanzania

Rogia SA Gomez, Said H Mbaga

J. Anim. Health Prod., Vol. 11, Iss. 2, pp. 155-164

Abstract | Full Text | PDF | ePUB | FLIP | DOI | Figures |

Virulence Genes and Immunological Biomarkers for Brucellosis in Sheep & Goat

Asmaa A. Darwish, Adel M. El-Kattan, Mona A. Mahmoud, Mohamed T. Ragab, Amani A. Hafez

J. Anim. Health Prod., Vol. 11, Iss. 2, pp. 165-175

Abstract | Full Text | PDF | ePUB | FLIP | DOI | Figures |

Addition of Moringa Leaf Meal in the Diet of Hy-Line Brown Laying Hens: Influence on Productive and Egg Quality Parameters

Eliseo Pelagio Fernández Ruelas, Ali William Canaza-Cayo, Francisco Halley Rodriguez Huanca, Uri Harold Perez Guerra, Roxana Churata-Huacani, Tales Jesus Fernandes, Ysabel Mendoza Aguilar

J. Anim. Health Prod., Vol. 11, Iss. 2, pp. 185-192

Abstract | Full Text | PDF | ePUB | FLIP | DOI | Figures |

Effects of Using Black Soldier Fly Larvae Meal (Hermetia illucens L) as a Source of Protein on Boosting Performance, Carcass Quality, and Nutrient Digestibility of Village Chicken

Fuji Astuty Auza, Sri Purwanti, Jasmal A. Syamsu, Asmuddin Natsir, Rusli Badaruddin, Deki Zulkarnain, La Ode Muh. Munadi

J. Anim. Health Prod., Vol. 11, Iss. 2, pp. 193-198.

Abstract | Full Text | PDF | ePUB | FLIP | DOI | Figures |

Performance of Local Sheep Fed Diets Containing Urea-Impregnated Zeolite

Dede Kardaya, Deden Sudrajat, Dewi Wahyuni

J. Anim. Health Prod., Vol. 11, Iss. 2, pp. 199-205

Abstract | Full Text | PDF | ePUB | FLIP | DOI | Figures |

Analysis of Nutrient Digestibility, Hematological Status, and Blood Malondialdehyde Levels in Broiler Chickens Given a Combination of Cassava Tuber and Indigofera zollingeriana Leaves Flour as a Source of Energy in the Ration

Rizki Palupi, Arfan Abrar, Fitri Nova Liya Lubis, Yuni Kurniati, Bianca (kriza Octa Nadia Putri

J. Anim. Health Prod., Vol. 11, Iss. 2, pp. 206-213

Abstract | Full Text | PDF | ePUB | FLIP | DOI | Figures |

Identification of Ball Pigs Using Body Morphometric and Head Index by Applying Principal Component Analysis (PCA) Approach

Luh Gde Sri Surya Heryani, Ni Luh Eka Setiasih, Ni Nyoman Werdi Susari, I Made Merdana, I Gusti Ngurah Bagus Tri Laksana, I Wayan Nico Fajar Gunawan

J. Anim. Health Prod., Vol. 11, Iss. 2, pp. 214-221

Abstract | Full Text | PDF | ePUB | FLIP | DOI | Figures |

Review

Lumpy Skin Disease: An unforeseen danger in India

Navjot Hothi

J. Anim. Health Prod., Vol. 11, Iss. 2, pp. 176-184

Abstract | Full Text | PDF | ePUB | FLIP | DOI | Figures |

6/27/23, 1:11 PM Current Issue

# Journal of Animal Health and

#### Production

March

Vol. 11, Iss. 1, Pages 1-98





#### Featuring

Identification of Bali Pigs Using Body Morphometric and Head Index by Applying Principal Component Analysis (PCA) Approach

Luh Gde Sri Surya Heryani, Ni Luh Bka Setiasin, Ni Nyoman Werdi Susari, I Made Merdana, I Gusti Ngurah Bagus Tri Laksana, I Wayan Nico Fajar Gunawan

J. Anim. Health Prod., Vol. 11, Iss. 2, pp. 214-221

Analysis of Nutrient Digestibility, Hematological Status, and Blood Malondialdehyde Levels in Broiler Chickens Given a Combination of Cassava Tuber and Indigofera zollingeriana Leaves Flour as a Source of Energy in the Ration

Rizki Palupi, Arfan Abrar, Fitri Nova Liya Lubis, Yuni Kurniati, Bianca Ikriza Octa Nadia Putri

J. Anim. Health Prod., Vol. 11, Iss. 2, pp. 205-213.

Performance of Local Sheep Fed Diets Containing Urea-Impregnated Zeolite

Dede Kardaya; Deden Sudrajat, Dewi Wahyuni

J. Anim, Health Prod., Vol. 11, Iss. 2, pp. 199-205

Effects of Using Black Soldier Fly Larvae Meal (Hermetia illucens L) as a Source of Protein on Boosting Performance, Carcass Quality, and Nutrient Digestibility of Village Chicken

Fuji Astuty Auza, Sri Purwanti, Jasmal A. Syamsu, Asmuddin Natsir, Rusli Badaruddin, Deki Zulkarnain, La Ode Muh, Munadi

J. Anim, Health Prod., Vol. 11, Iss. 2, pp. 193-198

Click here for more

#### Subscribe Today

Receive free updates on new articles, opportunities and benefits

First Name
First Name
Last Name

Email Address
Email Address

Select Journals

Select Journal

What would like to do?

Subscribe O Unsubscribe

Subscribe Today

Interact with ResearchersLinks

Frequently Asked Questions

Contact Leaders/Staff
Work at ResearchersLinks

Article Processing Charges

How Do I Join ResearchersLinks?

**Guidelines and Support** 

Authors and Contributors

Reviewing and Reviewers Academic Editors

Librarians and Specialists

Publishers and Collaborators

Institutions and Societies

ResearchersLinks Initiatives

Manuscript Handler

The Events Portal ResearcherLinks Books

ResearcherLinks Blog

Links Platform (coming...)

Information and Help

Access & Subscriptions

Policies Statements

Memberships

Follow Us









© 2023 Researchers Links. All rights Reserved. Researchers Links is a member of CrossRef. CrossMark, (Thenticute:

# Research Article



# Identification of Bali Pigs Using Body Morphometric and Head Index by Applying Principal Component Analysis (PCA) Approach

Luh Gde Sri Surya Heryani<sup>1</sup>, Ni Luh Eka Setiasih<sup>1</sup>, Ni Nyoman Werdi Susari<sup>1</sup>, I Made Merdana<sup>1</sup>, I Gusti Ngurah Bagus Tri Laksana<sup>2</sup>, I Wayan Nico Fajar Gunawan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Veterinary Basic Science, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Udayana University.Jl. PB. Sudirman, Denpasar, Bali, Indonesia; 2Departement of Veterinary Clinic and Reproduction, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Udayana University Jl. PB. Sudirman, Denpasar, Bali, Indonesia.

Abstract | Bali pig is an important livestock for meeting the meat needs of the community, especially in the Province of Bali, Indonesia. The characteristics of this animal include a slightly rough black fur, a long snout, curved back, and their stomach does not touch the ground when standing. This study aims to determine the morphometric characteristics and head index of Bali pigs using a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) approach to easily identify the phenotypic characteristics. The samples include 50 Bali pigs that are intensively reared in Musi Village, Gerogak District, Buleleng Regency, Bali Province, Indonesia. The measurement data were analyzed using PCA to reduce and interpret the measured variables. The results showed that the average hip height and width, rump length, body length, shoulder height, chest width and depth, head width and length were 55.68cm, 17.53cm, 10.62cm, 67.40cm, 54.92cm, 17.81cm, 41.24cm, 10.63cm, and 26.26cm, respectively. Furthermore, the result of measuring the index of the female and male heads was 40.76% and 39.41%. The results showed that Principal Component 1 (KU1) and 2 (KU2) had a variance of 5.834 and 1.834, respectively, while the percentage of variance was 58.338% and 18.340%, with a total value of 76.678%. The hip width, shoulder height, and chest width were significantly different (p<0.05) between male and female Bali pigs. In addition, the main characteristics of size for this specie include hip height, rump length, body length, shoulder height, chest width, and head width and length, while that of the shape include chest and hip-width

Keywords | Bali pig, Body morphometric, Head index, Hip height, Main component analysis.

Received | September 03, 2022; Accepted | April 05, 2023; Published | June 01, 2023

\*Correspondence | Luh Gde Sri Surya Heryani, Department of Veterinary Basic Science, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Udayana University, Denpasar, Bali, Indonesia; Email: surya heryani@unud.ac.id

Citation | Heryani LGSS, Setiasih NLE, Susari NNW, Merdana IM, Laksana IGNBT, Gunawan IWNF (2023). Identification of bali pigs using body morphometric and head index by applying principal component analysis (pca) approach. J. Anim. Health Prod. 11(2): 214-221.

DOI | http://dx.doi.org/10,17582/journal.jahp/2023/11.2.214.221

ISSN | 2308-2801



Copyright: 2023 by the authors. Licensee Researchers Links Ltd, England, UK.

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/),

# INTRODUCTION

The national demand for meat continues to increase every year and this is in line with the increasing population (Hafizah et.al, 2020). The sources of animal protein have become very diverse and meat is still seen as one of the important sources of protein considering the complete content of essential amino acids. In Indonesia, the highest amount of meat is still dominated by cattle and chicken, while the portion of pork is very small. Henuk and Bakti

(2018) shows that, the share of national meat consumption is dominated by broiler chicken at 55%, beef 19%, native chicken 10%, pork 8%, goat and lamb 7%, and others around 1%.

Pigs are one of the livestock that has good roles and prospects to being developed in Bali Province, especially in non-Muslim residential areas, and are adjusted to the Regional General Spatial Planning and Regional Spatial Detail Plans. The market for pork and/or processed products



is still wide open to various countries, such as Singapore and Hong Kong. The export of pigs is second in place after chickens and it's yet to become the government's leading commodity. However, their focus until now is still dominant on large ruminants (Henuk and Bakti, 2018).

Bali pigs are germplasm that needs to be saved in order to prevent extinction due to their limited population. They are genetically slower to grow compared to imported breed pigs. Furthermore, there are two species of Bali pigs, namely those found in the eastern region which is believed to have originated from China (Sus vittatus). They have a bit rough black fur, a long snout, and a curved back but their stomach does not touch the ground. Meanwhile, the species that live in northern, western, central, and southern Bali have the characteristics of a downward curved back (lordosis), a large stomach, white stripes on the abdomen and legs, short muzzle, erect ears, adult pig body height of about 54cm, body length of about 90cm and tail length between 20-25cm (Figure 1). The mother pig (bangkung) has a very low belly that can even touch the ground when it's standing. It has nipples between 12-14 and can give birth to 12 tails at a time. In addition, the species is better known as the Bali Bali (Sihombing, 2006).



Figure 1: Adult male Bali pig.

When maintaining the Bali pig as one of the germplasms, it is necessary to first know the characteristics of the population. Morphometric analysis and genetic characterization are the first steps in animal conservation programs (Lanari et al., 2003). They can be used as a guide in making decisions to determine the uniqueness of the animal race. Furthermore, the phenotypic characteristics information based on morphometry, pigs in Indonesia can be used for further development without ignoring the purity of the local pig breed.

Identification of the morphometric characteristics of pigs in each farm can be used as the basis for selection purpose at the next stage. Pigs that have a high dissimilarity range can be crossed to increase production without losing the original morphometric characteristics of each farm. The morphometric measurements observed are hip height and width, rump length, ankle circumference, body length, shoulder height, chest width, and depth, as well as head index measurements such as the length and width. There are few studies on body morphometrics and head index of pigs (Panda et al., 2021; Prheeti et al., 2023; Baruzzi et al., 2023), however, to our knowledge such type of data is missing for Bali pig breed. This study aims to determine the morphometric characteristics and head index of Bali pigs using a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) approach to identify the phenotypic characteristics of Bali pigs.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

# ANIMALS

The samples include 50 Bali pigs that were reared intensively by the farming community in Musi Village, Gerokgak District, Buleleng Regency, Indonesia. Furthermore, the criteria were an adult male and female Bali pigs that were 1 year and above. This research has been approved by ethical committee of Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Udayana University with approval number: B/70/UN14.2.9/PT.01.04/2023.

#### SAMPLING

The equipment used to measure the body and head measurements was a measuring tape that has a Butterfly brand and an Etherna caliper with an accuracy of 0.01cm. Primary data were collected from the results of body morphometric measurements and head index of male and female Bali pigs. The body parts and the way the measurements were taken as under:

 Hip height (cm) was measured from the highest distance of the hips perpendicular to the ground using a measuring stick (Figure 2).




Figure 2: Measurement methods of hip height (1), body length (2), shoulder height (3) and chest depth (9).



- 2. Body length (cm) was measured by a straight line distance from the edge of the spinous process bone to the bone lump (os ichium) using a measuring stick (Figure 2). Shoulder height (cm) was measured from the highest distance of the shoulder through the back of the scapula, perpendicular to the ground using a measuring stick (Figure 2).
- Hip width (cm) was measured at the hip joint of the pig using a measuring tape (Figure 3).
- Rump length (cm) was measured from the back of the sacral bone to the top of the tailbone using a measuring tape (Figure 3).
- Chest width (cm) was measured from the distance between the protrusion of the shoulder joint (os scapula) left and right using a measuring stick (Figure 3).
- Head width (cm) was measured horizontally from the distance between the outermost part of the parietal bone with a measuring tape (Figure 3).
- Head length (cm) was obtained by measuring the distance from inion to nasion with a measuring tape (Figure 3).



Figure 3: Measurement methods of hip width (4), rump length (5), chest width (6), head width (7) and head length (8).

 Chest depth (cm) was measured from the distance of the highest point of the shoulder and the breastbone using a measuring stick (Figure 2).

The head length and width data was used to calculate the head index using the following formula:

Head width
Head Index: ---- x 100 %
Head length

#### STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The results were analyzed descriptively to determine the normality and homogeneity of the data. Furthermore, the comparison of morphometric body and head index of male and female pigs was determined using the T-test and Mann-Whitney U test for data that were not normally distributed (Heath, 2000). The Principal Component Analysis (PCA) which was obtained from the diversification matrix (Gaspersz, 1992) was used to explain the structure of the variance-covariance matrix of a set of variables through a linear combination. In general, principal components can be useful for the reduction and interpretation of variables.

### RESULTS

The results regarding body morphometric measurements and head index of 50 Bali pigs which were kept intensively by the community in Musi Village, Gerogak District, Buleleng Regency, Indonesia, were shown in Table-1. The minimum and maximum values for each measured variable vary widely. The minimum value for hip height and width, rump length, body length, shoulder height, chest width and height, and head width and length was 50.0cm, 14.0cm, 9.0cm, 58.0cm, 49.5cm, 14.0cm, 31.0cm, 8.5cm, and 24.0cm respectively. Furthermore, the maximum values for hip height and width, rump length, body length, shoulder height, chest width and depth, head with and length was 66.0cm, 27.0cm, 12.0cm, 84.0cm, 62.0cm, 26.0cm, 47.0cm, and 12.0cm and 29.0cm respectively, with consecutive average values of 55.68cm±3.223, 17.53cm±2.760, 10.62cm±0.818, 67.40cm±6.158, 54.92cm±2.911,

MORPHOMETRIC MEASUREMENTS OF THE BALL PIG.

Male and female Bali pig head index

17.81cm±3.125, 41.24cm±3.826, 10.63cm±0.775, and

The results of the analysis of the male and female Bali pig head index measurements are shown in Table 2. The results showed that the head index of female and male Bali pigs was 40.76% and 39.41% respectively. Furthermore, no significant difference (p > 0.05) was found for the head width and length variations in both female and male pigs.

#### PCA OF BODY AND HEAD MORPHOMETRICS

26.26cm±1.263 respectively.

The results of the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) on body and head morphometrics of Bali pigs are shown in Table 3. The results of the analysis showed that Principal Component 1 (KU1) and 2 (KU2) had a variance (Eigenvalue) greater than 1, namely 5.834 and 1.834. KU1 and KU2 were able to explain 58.338% and 18.340% of data diversity. Thus the total of the two main components can be used to explain the diversity of the data by 76.678% (>75%). The score equation for the components formed was



Table 1: Maximum – Minimum Value, Average and Standard Deviation of the Results of Morphometric Measurements of the Body and Head of the Bali Pig

Variable	Number of samples	Minimum Value (cm)	Maximum Value (cm)	Average (cm)	Std. Deviation
Hip height	50	50.0	66,0	55,680	3.2226
Hip width	50	14.0	27.0	17.530	2.7598
Rump length	50	9.0	12.0	10.620	0.8179
Body length	50	58.0	84.0	67.400	6.1578
Shoulder height	50	49.5	62.0	54.920	2.9109
Chest width	50	14.0	26.0	17.810	3.1248
Chest depth	50	31,0	47.0	41.240	3.8256
Head width	50	8.5	12,0	10.630	0.7747
Head length	50	24.0	29.0	26.260	1.2627

Table 2: Results of Measurement of Male and Female Bali Pig Head Index

Variable	Sex	N	Mean (cm)	Std. Deviation	P
Head width	Female	40	10.638	0.7678	0.491
	Male	10	10,600	0.8433	
Head length	Female	40	26.100	1.1994	0.878
	Male	10	26.900	1.3703	
Head index	Male:39.41				
	Female:40.76	5			

Table 3: Main Components, Total Diversity and Eigen Value of Bali Pigs

Variable	Main Component 1 (Size)	Main Component 2 (Shapes)
Hip height	0.892	-0.030
Hip width	-0.116	0.625
Rump length	0.867	-0.110
Body length	0.889	0.152
Shoulder height	0.828	0.334
Chest width	0.504	0.784
Chest depth	0.853	0.198
Head width	0.831	-0.096
Head length	0.927	0.147
Percentage of Diversity	58,338	18.340
Cumulative Percentage of Eigenvalues	58,338 5.834	76.678 1.834

Table 4: Comparison of Body and Head Morphometrics of Male and Female Bali Pigs

	Hip height	Hip width	Rump length	Body length	Shoulder height	Chest width	Chest depth	Head width	Head length
Mann-Whitney U	175.00	7.500	183.00	148.00	109.00	2.00	134.00	178.00	135.00
Wilcoxon W	995.00	827,500	238.000	968.00	929.00	822.00	954.00	233.00	955,00
Z	-0.610	-4.705	-0.421	-1.264	-2.221	-4.838	-1.618	-0.551	-1.606
Asymp, Sig. (2-tailed)	0.542	0.000	0.673	0.206	0.026	0.000	0.106	0.581	0.108



calculated based on the coefficient values for each variable. The KU1 score was calculated as follows:

KU1 = 0.892 hip height - 0.116 hip width + 0.867 rump length + 0.889 body length + 0.828 shoulder height + 0.504 chest width + 0.853 chest depth + 0.831 head width + 0.927 head length

While KU2 score was calculated as follows:

KU2 = -0.030 hip height + 0.625 hip width -0.110 rump length + 0.152 body length + 0.334 shoulder height + 0.784 chest width + 0.198 chest depth -0.096 head width + 0.147 head length

Comparison of the body and head morphometrics

The morphometric comparison of the body and head of male and female Bali pigs was carried out by Mann-Whitney U analysis and results were summarized in Table 4. The data exhibited that hip width, shoulder height, and chest width had a significant difference (p < 0.05) between male and female Bali pigs. However, there was no significant difference (p > 0.05) between the hip height, rump length, body length, chest depth, head width, and head length between male and female Bali pigs.

# DISCUSSION

Pigs are meat-producing livestock that has a high potential to fulfil the meat needs of the community because they have a fast-breeding ability that is faster than other livestock. These animals generally have fast growth and are very efficient in converting feed into meat with a high percentage of a carcass. Pigs produce higher carcasses (65-80% of body weight), compared to cattle (50-60% of body weight), sheep and goats (45-55% of body weight), and buffaloes (38% of body weight) (Riwukore and Habaora, 2019). Out of the 87 world-famous pig breeds, at least 10 have been developed in Indonesia, including Landrace, Yorkshire, Duroc, Tamworth, and Saddle Back. These species are generally in the form of crosses cultivated in commercial companies so that pure breeds are difficult to find (Aritonang, 1981).

Phenotypic differences can be used to determine genetic distance through diversity analysis (Komenes, 1999), and can be identified by measuring body parts or morphometrics. Furthermore, body size measurements are used to distinguish variations in both body size and shape. Analysis of diversity and correlation is widely used in characterizing the relationship between phenotypic and genetic traits (Salako and Ngere, 2002). The characteristics include elements that characterize the population. Several parameters, such as body size, are used as a basic measurement of the characteristics of an animal for production and re-

production purposes. This includes body length, chest circumference, body weight at various periods, hip height and width, and leg circumference (Budinuryanto, 1991).

The body and head morphometric data of Bali pigs showed an average hip height of 55.68cm±3.223, hip-width 17.53cm±2.760, rump length 10.62cm±0.818, body length 67.40cm±6.158, shoulder height 54.92cm±2.911, chest width 17.81cm±3.125 and depth 41.24cm±3.826, head width 10.63cm±0.775 and length 26.26cm±1.263. These results can be used to identify the Bali pig phenotype and also facilitate an inventory of data regarding their phenotypic profile in order to see their kinship with other pigs in Indonesia. In addition, various kinds of morphometric measurements have important roles in identifying various breeds of livestock and also determine the characteristics of the animal race (Yakubu et al., 2010).

Bali pigs have a smaller size compared to Duroc which has a shoulder and hip height of 84.53±4.324cm and 89.09±4.055cm, head width and length of 30.63±2.058cm and 36.20±2.201, the neck circumference of 98.93±5.942cm, chest depth of 48.13±3.874cm and hip width of 27.04±2.247cm. The Berkshire pigs also have smaller body sizes than Yorkshire, Duroc, and Landrace pigs except for a body length, shoulder height, and hip width of 91.07±10.397cm, 80.95±9.483cm, and 26.62±2,560cm respectively (Banjarnahor et al., 2014). Comparing the body sizes of these four species, the Bali pig is much smaller and this is due to their national differences. Soeparno (1992) stated that the differences in body composition among livestock breeds are mainly due to the differences in the adult body size. Sumantri (2007) and Gunawan (2008) also stated that body size is influenced by feed and genetic factors, maintenance management, and mating patterns such as inbreeding. Furthermore, environmental and genetic factors have a close relationship to express individual genetic capacity perfectly when ideal environmental conditions are meant.

The measurements showed that the head index of both male and female Bali was 40.76% and 39.41%. Variations in head width and length in both female and male pigs were not significant (p > 0.05). The head index measurement was used to determine the head conformation of an animal, where it can be used to estimate the physiological feeding ability of livestock. This can also be used as a parameter in determining their appropriate feeding management and to estimate the closeness of the family relationship, where each animal has a different head conformation (Salgado et al., 2021).

Our study showed a significant difference (p < 0.05) in hip width, shoulder height, and chest width between male and



female Bali pigs. However, there was no significant difference (p > 0.05) in hip height, rump length, body length, chest depth, head width, and head length. Swatland (1984) and Aberle (2001) stated that growth can be assessed as the increase in height, length, circumference, and weight of a young animal that fed, watered, and properly placed. According to Kay and Housseman (1987), androgen hormones in male animals can stimulate growth hence they tend to grow faster than females.

Tillman et al. (1991) stated that growth has fast and slow stages. The fast stage occurs before sexual maturity and the late stage is in the early phase and when the body's maturity has been reached. Furthermore, Darmayanti (2003) and Nurhayati (2004) showed that bodyweight is positively related to body measurements. Mulliadi (1996) further explained that the measurement of body size as a quantitative trait was carried out based on the general size of livestock. These measurements are used to determine differences in livestock populations and their morphogenetics within a region or country.

According to Fourie et al., (2002), size is an important indicator that is used to evaluate growth but cannot be used for animal body composition. Chest circumference and body length are the most commonly used measurements which have the greatest influence on body weight. The chest circumference also had a positive correlation with a growth rate which resulted in an increase in shoulder height and frame size. According to Darmadi (2004), this factor affects live weight more than body length.

The results of Principal Component Analysis (PCA) showed that the dominant contributing variables as size characteristics in Bali pigs were hip height, rump length, body length, shoulder height, chest depth, and head width and length. This were indicated by high eigenvalues in the equation of the size scores, respectively 0.892, 0.867, 0.889, 0.828, 0.853, 0.831 and 0.927. Meanwhile, the variables that played a dominant role as a shape feature were the chest and hip-width. This is indicated by the high Eigenvalues in the form score equations of 0.784 and 0.625, respectively. These size and shape characteristics can be used to differentiate from other pig species where the shape (phenotypic) is influenced by genetic and environmental factors (Hardjosubroto, 1998).

The first and second main components (KU 1), namely size and shape had the highest total diversity of 58.338% and 18.340%, and an Eigenvalue of 5.834 and 1.834dc. These results are in accordance with the opinion of Wiley (1981) which states that the first (X-axis) and second axis (Y-axis) represents the overall size and shape. It also explains the total diversity of 50% to 90% and as little as 1%. Further-

more, Everit and Dunn (1998) explained that the second principal component is of interest to taxonomists because it is more heritable.

Priyanto et al. (2000) stated that the coefficient of low, moderate, and high diversity ranged from 0.1% to 25%, 25.1% to 50%, >50.1%. However, the coefficient of diversity did not exist zero percent. According to Hayashi et al. (1981), the complexity and diversity of living organisms have made multivariate statistical methods an important tool for studying variation and evolution. An example is the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) which aims to reduce data and interpret it (Gaspersz, 1992). This analysis is used to determine discrimination among livestock populations (Hayashi et al., 1982). The main components are obtained based on the variance and correlation matrix. Furthermore, the power of this comparative analysis is greater when the main components are obtained from the diversification matrix. The first and second principal components are also an indication of the size and shape of the animal (Hayashi et al., 1988). In animal anatomy research, the second main component as a shape vector can be used to provide more specific information about the specific characteristics of a particular animal. However, the first main component as a size vector was not emphasized (Everitt and Dunn, 1991).

# CONCLUSION

The hip width, shoulder height, and chest width showed significant differences between male and female Bali pigs. However, there were no significant differences in hip height, rump length, body length, chest depth, head width, and head length. The main characteristics of size in this species are hip height, rump length, body length, shoulder height, chest depth, head width, and head length. Meanwhile, the variables that acted as shape characteristics were chest width and hip-width.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank the Ministry of Research, Technology, and Higher Education, through Udayana University for the research funds provided with the research grant number: B/29/UN14.2.9/PT.01.05/2021 so that this research can be completed as expected.

# CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflict of interest.



# NOVELTY STATEMENT

This is the first report of morphometric characteristics and head index of Bali pigs using a Principal Component Analysis (PCA). The morphometric characteristics is used to identify the phenotypic characteristics of Bali pigs.

# AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

LGSSH Designed the research design, NLES, NNWS and IMM collected and analyzed the data, LGSSH, IG-NBTL, and IWNFG wrote the manuscript draft. All authors read and revised the final draft of the manuscript.

# REFFERENCES

- Aberle E. D. (2001). Principles of meat science. Kendall Hunt.
- Aritonang D., Silalahi M. (2001). The productivity of various pure breed and cross breed of imported pigs during lactation period. J. Ilmu Ternak dan Vet., 6(1): 38-44.
- Banjarnahor N., Budi U. (2014). Genetic Distance Estimation and Variable Differential Factor of Pig Breeds (Berkshire, Duroc, Landrace and Yorkshire) Through Morphometrics Analysis in BPTU Pig and Buffalo Siborongborong. J. Peternakan Integratif., 2(2): 165-172.
- Baruzzi C., Snow N. P., Vercauteren K. C., Strickland B. K., Arnoult J. S., Fischer J. W., Lashley M. A. (2023). Estimating body mass of wild pigs (Sui scrofa) using body morphometrics. Ecol. Evol. 13(3): e9853. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.9853
- Budinuryanto D. C. (1991), Karakteristik Domba Priangan Tipe Adu Ditinjau dari Eksterior dan Kebiasaan Peternak Dalam Pola Pemeliharannya. Thesis Program Studi Pascasarjana. IPB. Bogor.
- Colville T., Joanna M.B. (2002). Clinical Anatomy and Physiology For Veterinary Technicians. Mosby, Inc., America.
- Darmadi D. (2004). Produktivitas ternak pedaging di dua desa yang berbeda ketinggian tempat di Kabupaten Garut. Skripsi. Fakultas Peternakan. Institut Pertanian Bogor, Bogor
- Damayanti A.P. (2003). Kinerja Biologis Komparatif Antara Itik, Entog dan Mandalung. Tesis. Sekolah Pascasarjana Institut Pertanian Bogor, Bogor. https://doi.org/10.14334/wartazoa. v20i3.936
- Davidson H.R, Coey W.E. (1966). The Production and Marketing of Pigs. Third edition. Longmans, green and company. ltd., London. Althanaian, T., K.M.
- Doho S.R. (1994). Parameter fenotipik beberapa sifat kualitatif dan kuantitatif pada domba Ekor Gemuk. Tesis. Institut Pertanian Bogor, Bogor.
- Evan H.E (1993). Millers Anatomy of the Dog. 3rd edition. W.B. Saunders Company. Pp.1-218.
- Everitt BS, Dunn G. (1998). Applied Multivariate Data Analysis. John Wiley and Sons Inc., Illinois
- Fourie P. J., Neser F. W. C., Olivier J. J., Van der Westhuizen C. (2002). Relationship between production performance, visual appraisal and body measurements of young Dorper rams. South African J. Anim. Sci., 32(4): 256-262.
- Frandson R.D. (1992), Anatomi dan Fisiologi Ternak. Terjemahan: Srigandono dan K. Praseno, Edisi Keempat.

- Gadjah Mada University Press, Yogyakarta.
- Gaspersz V. (1992), Teknik Analisis dalam Penelitian Percobaan, Jilid 2, Tarsito, Bandung.
- Gunawan A., Jamal K., Sumantri C. (2008). Pendugaan bobot badan melalui analisis morfometrik dengan pendekatan regresi terbaik best-subset pada domba Garut tipe pedaging, tangkas dan persilangannya. Majalah Ilmiah Peternakan, 11(1): 1-6.
- Hanibal. (2008). Ukuran dan bentuk serta pendugaan bobot bobot badan berdasarkan ukuran tubuh domba silangan lokal Garut jantan di Kabupaten Tasikmalaya. Thesis. Fakultas Peternakan, Institut Pertanian Bogor, Bogor.
- Hafizah D., Hakim D. B., Harianto H., Nurmalina R. (2020), Analysing food consumption in Indonesia. Int. J. Progress Sci. Tech., 20(2): 340-7.
- Hardjosubroto W. (1998), Aplikasi Pemuliabiakan di Lapangan, Gramedia widiasaranaIndonesia. Jakarta,
- Hayashi Y., Nishida T., Mochizuki K., Otsuka J. (1981). Measurements of the skull of native cattle and banteng in Indonesia. Nihon Juigaku zasshi. Japanese J. Vet. Sci., 43(6): 901-907
- Hayashi Y., Otsuka J. I., Nishida T. (1988). Multivariate craniometrics of wild banteng, Bos banteng, and five types of native cattle in Eastern Asia. Jpn. J. Zootech. Sci., 59(7): 660-672.
- Heath D. (2000). An Introducing to Experimental Design and Statistics for Biology, UCL Press, London.
- Henuk Y. L., Bakti D. (2018). Benefits of Promoting Native Chickens for Sustainable Rural Poultry Development in Indonesia. In Talenta Conference Series: Agricult. Nat, Resour. (ANR), 1(1): 69-76. https://doi.org/10.32734/anr. v1i1.98
- Johnson Z. B., Nugent III R. A. (2003). Heritability of body length and measures of body density and their relationship to backfat thickness and loin muscle area in swine. J. Anim. Sci., 81(8): 1943-1949. https://doi.org/10.2527/2003.8181943x
- Johnson Z. B., Chewning J. J., III R. N. (2002). Estimates of Heritability for Body Length and Relationship to Other Performance Traits for Four Breeds of Swine. Arkansas., 116
- Kay M.R., Housseman. (1987). The Influence of Sex on Meat Production, in Meat Fd. D.J.A. Cook and r.A. lawrrie Butterworth, London
- Komenes P. A. (1999). Alfa-casein and betalactoglobulin and growth hormone alleles frequencies and genetik distances in Nelore, GYR, Guzera, Caracu, Charolais, Canchim and Santa Getrudis cattles, Gen. Mol. Biol., 22: 539-541.
- Krider J.L, Carroll W.E. (1971). Swine Production. Fourth Edition. McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York.
- Laidding A. R. (1996). Hubungan berat badan dan lingkar dada dengan beberapa sifat-sifat ekonomi penting pada sapi bali. Buletin Ilmu Peternakan dan Perikanan. Universitas Hasanudin. Ujung Pandang. IV (10): 127-133.
- Lanari M. R., Taddeo H., Domingo E., Pérez Centeno M., Gallo L. (2003). Phenotypic differentiation of exterior traits in local Criollo goat population in Patagonia (Argentina). Archiv. Anim. Breed., 46(4): 347-356. https://doi.org/10.5194/aab-46-347-2003
- Machebe N. S., Ezekwe A. G. (2010). Predicting body weight of growing-finishing gilts raised in the tropics using linear body measurements. Asian J. Experimen. Biolog. Sci., 1(1): 162-165.
- Mulliadi D. (1996). Sifat Fenotip Domba Priangan di Kabupaten



- Pandeglang dan Garut. Disertasi. Program Pascasarjana. Institut Pertanian Bogor, Bogor.
- Nurhayati P. (2004). Nilai tambah produk olahan perikanan pada industri perikanan tradisional di DKI Jakarta. Buletin Ekonomi Perikanan., 5(2).
- Panda S., Gaur G. K., Chauhan A., Kar J., Mehrotra A. (2021). Accurate assessment of body weights using morphometric measurements in Landlly pigs. Trop. Anim. Health Prod., 53(3): 362. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-021-02803-2
- Preethi A. L., Tarafdar A., Ahmad S. F., Panda S., Tamilarasan K., Ruchay A., Gaur G. K. (2023). Weight Prediction of Landlly Pigs from Morphometric Traits in Different Age Classes Using ANN and Non-Linear Regression Models. Agriculture., 13(2): 362. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture13020362
- Priyanto D., Siregar A. R., Handiwirawan E. (2000). Characterization of introduced breed of sheep and pattenl of conservation of Sumatera thin tail (STT) sheep in North Sumatera. J. Ilmu Ternak dan Vet., 5(1): 12-22.
- Riwukore J. R., Habaora F. (2019). Display of local pig reproduction in East Nusa Tenggara, Indonesia. Int. J. Agricult. Environm. Biores., 4(3), 223-233.
- Saladin R. (1983). Penampilan sifat-sifat produksi dan reproduksi sapi lokal Pesisir Selatan di Propinsi Sumatera Barat. Disertasi. Institut Pertanian Bogor, Bogor.
- Salako A. E., Ngere L. O. (2002). Application of multifactorial discriminant analysis in the morphometric structural differentiation of West African Dwarf (WAD) and Yankasa Sheep in South West. Nigerian J. Anim. Prod., 29(2): 163-167.
- Salamena J. F., Noor R. R., Sumantri C., Inounu I. (2007).

- Hubungan genetik, ukuran populasi efektif dan laju silang dalam per generasi populasi domba di Pulau Kisar, Journal of the Indonesian Trop. Anim. Agricult., 32(2): 71-75.
- Salgado H. H., Methot S., Remus A., Letourneau-Montminy M. P., Pomar C. (2021). A novel feeding behavior index integrating several components of the feeding behavior of finishing pigs. *Animal.*, 15(7): 100251. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.animal.2021.100251
- Sarbaini. (2004). Kajian keragaman karakter eksternal dan DNA mikrosatelit sapi Pesisir di Sumetera Barat. Disertation. Sekolah Pasca Sarjana, Institut Pertanian Bogor, Bogor.
- Sihombing, D. T. H. (2006). Ilmu Ternak Babi. Ed. 2. Gadjah Mada University Press. Bulaksumur, Yogyakarta 55281.
- Soeparno, Ilmu dan Teknologi Daging, (1992). UGM Press, Yogyakarta
- Sumantri C., Einstiana A., Salamena J. F., Inounu I. (2007). Keragaan dan hubungan phylogenik antar domba lokal di Indonesia melalui pendekatan analisis morfologi. JITV., 12(1): 42-54.
- Suparyanto A., Purwadaria T., dan Subandriyo. (1999). Pendugaan jarak genetik dan faktor peubah pembeda bangsa dan kelompok domba di Indonesia melalui pendekatan analisis morfologi. I, Ilmu ternak dan Vet. 4: 80-87.
- Swatland H. J. (1984). Structure and development of meat animals. Prentice Hall, Inc..
- Tillmam A.D., Hartadi H., Reksohadiprojo S., Prowirokusumo S., Dan lebdosoekojo, S. (1991). ilmu Makanan ternak Dasar. Fakultas Peternakan universitas Gajah Mada, yogyakarta.
- Yakubu A., Idahor K. O., Haruna H. S., Wheto M., Amusan S. (2010), Multivariate analysis of phenotypic differentiation in Bunaji and Sokoto Gudali cattle. Acta Agricult. Slovenica., 96(2): 75-80. https://doi.org/10.2478/v10014-010-0018-9